

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/766,886		01/30/2004	Tatsuya Usami	8001-1190	4182	
466	7590	02/08/2005		EXAMINER		
YOUNG 8	tHOM	PAREKH	PAREKH, NITIN			
745 SOUTH 2ND FLOO		TREET		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2811		
ARLINGTO	ON, VA	22202				
				DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u>n'n</u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/766,886	USAMI, TATSUYA	
<i>Off</i> ice Action <i>Summary</i>	Exa <b>M</b> n <b>er</b>	Aft Unit	
	Nitin Parekh	2811	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be a within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this comm  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ja</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar</li> </ul>	action is non-final.	rosecution as to the m	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	wn from consideration.	~	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplished any accomplished may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct accordance to the correct accorda	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S ion is required if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica nty documents have been recei u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ntion No ved in this National Sta	nge
Attach ///Ent(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S8/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		<b>12</b> )

Art Unit: 2811

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-16 and 20-28, drawn to a semiconductor device/system, classified in class 257, subclass 758.
  - II. Claim 17-19 and 29-32, drawn to a method of making a semiconductor device, classified in class 438, subclass 118.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case unpatentability of Group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the process of the group II invention, since the device of group I invention could be made by the processes different from those of group II invention. For example, forming a trench pattern using a photoresist, depositing the interlayer dielectric (ILD) in the remaining non-trench pattern area and then using the resist lift-off method to form the trench pattern, instead of forming the ILD and forming the trench.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,886

Art Unit: 2811

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have

Page 3

acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction

for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The device/system claims 1-16 and 20-28 are further directed to the following 3.

patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

1. Embodiment 1: Fig. 1

11. Embodiment 2: Fig. 2

III. Embodiment 2: Fig. 3

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is

finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the

species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless

accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration

of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nitin Parekh whose telephone number is 571-272-1663. The examiner can normally be reached on 09:00AM-05:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on 571-272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9318.

Art Unit: 2811

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

NP

**NITIN PAREKH** 

Netni Paneth

02-02-05

PRIMARY EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800